

English 1320

Mr. Peters

Fall 2018

PAPER TWO

PROMPT: Write an argument-based paper on the subject of the maximum wage, taxes, income inequality, or anything else related to these things that we discussed in class.. (Things that we discussed that might fall under this subject: the wealth gap; the wage gap; the class system, particularly the middle class; etc.)

REQUIREMENTS:

- THESIS: You must have a thesis. This means you must choose a side and argue for that side.
- TITLE: This shouldn't be too short. It should indicate the subject AND "What about it?"
- SOURCES: A minimum of three sources. You must cite these in the text and on a Works Cited page. YOU ARE NOT ALLOWED to use any sort of auto-citation. If you are caught using auto-citation, you will receive a zero for the assignment. Additionally, your citations need to be PERFECT. At least one of those sources needs to be from the reader.
- WORD COUNT: A word count of 750 words, not including the Works Cited page. If you turn in 749 words, you have not completed the assignment. In general, try not to go over by more than 20%, which means you want more than 750 but not more than 900.

OTHER FORMATTING:

- HEADER: The last four digits of your student ID number and auto page numbers. Don't put your name.
- HEADING: 4-lines at top left of page 1 (English 1320, Mr. Peters, Date, Word Count). Don't put your name.
- MARGINS: 1" all around
- SPACING: Double-space everything (except the header). NO 2.5-spacing between paragraphs!
- FONT: 12-point (including the title), either Courier, Times New Roman, or Calibri
- PRINT: Single-sided only
- STAPLE: If you don't staple it, you can't turn it in
- WRITING STYLE: This is college. Use a proper collegiate tone. Do not use slang. Do not use contractions. Do not voice your opinions, and do not use personal pronouns (I, me, we, us, our, you yours, etc.).

SOURCES FROM CLASS: (you do not have to use these sources)

- "Minimum Wage? It's Time to Talk About a Maximum Wage" - *Guardian*
- "A Tax System Stacked Against the 99 Percent," pp. 286-95
- "Jeremy Corbyn's Latest Terrible Idea"